

 Participation
 Design & Consultancy

 for natural and
 built assets

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

INTEGRATING ASSET MANAGEMENT AND CONTINGENCY PLANNING INTO ONE PLAN

Government Affairs Workshop March 9, 2017

Today's Agenda

>What is Asset Management?

>Asset Management Drivers in the US

>Ohio EPA Proposed Asset Management Regulations and Support Materials

Compare Regulations Overlap

>Ohio Contingency Plan Regulations

>Questions & Discussion

Asset Management Definition – adapted from USEPA...

Management

Practices

Asset Management is a body of management practices that...



Targets the acceptable level of risk to the organization



Delivers service levels customers desire and regulators require Applies to the entire portfolio of infrastructure assets at all levels of the organization

Ê

Seeks to minimize total costs of acquiring, operating, maintaining, and renewing assets



Works within an environment of **limited resources**



Typical Drivers in the US are Evolving...





Capital Budgets

- "Wish list"
- Unaffordable Budgets
- Aging Infrastructure

State/Federal Requirements

- NPDES permits and consent decrees
- SRF loans

Bond Rating

 Rating agencies starting to look for it



- Incomplete data sets
- Poor hierarchies
- Lack of value

Asset Management Trend for US

- US EPA mandating Asset Management
 - Capacity, Management, Operations, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program
 - Administrative Orders (Ohio Wastewater Utilities)
- Several States are promoting asset management
 - Permit Requirement
 - SRF Funding Requirement
 - Principal Forgiveness
 - Grants



AWWA Survey of Asset Management Requirements for State Revolving Funds and Other Programs

Water Resources Reform and Develop Act (WRRDA) of 2014

 Requires Fiscal Sustainability Plans (FSPs) for Clean Water SRF Loan Recipients



FSP Requirements:

Asset Management

- Inventory of critical assets
- Evaluation of condition and performance of assets
- Plan for maintaining, repairing, or replacing assets
- Plan for funding these activities
- Certification of consideration and implementation of energy and water conservations

Ohio EPA Proposed Asset Management Plan Requirements (SB 2)

A public water system shall include in the plan all of the following:

- 1. An **Inventory** and **evaluation** of all assets;
- 2. Operation and maintenance programs;
- 3. An emergency preparedness and contingency planning program;
- 4. Criteria and timelines for infrastructure **rehabilitation and replacement**;
- 5. Approved capacity projections and capital improvement planning;
- 6. A long-term funding strategy to support asset management plan implementation.

Asset Management Evolution: Two Widely Recognized Frameworks



Introduction to Best Practices



ISO 55000 – "what" a program requires

- A management system standard, like others you may be familiar with such as ISO 9001, ISO 14001, etc.
 - ISO 55000 Overview, Principles and Terminology
 - ISO 55001 Requirements
 - ISO 55002 Guidelines

EPA / WERF/ WaterRF Framework



3. Which assets are critical?

4. What are my best CIP and O&M strategies?

5. What is my best funding strategy?

Best in Class Programs Use a Blended Approach

ISO 55000

The Organization Leadership Plans Support Operation Performance Evaluation Improvement

bsi

AM Success

WERF SAM GAP

Processes & Practices Information Systems Data & Knowledge Service Delivery Organization Issues People Issues Asset Mgmt. Plans

W WERF

Leading Practice Concepts of Asset Management for Capital Planning









Leading Practice Asset Management

Levels of Service Based on Customer and Stakeholder Expectations Risk Management Based on Likelihood and Consequence of Failure CIP Using Life Cycle Cost, Business Cases and Prioritization

Service Levels Build Transparency and Stakeholder Relationships

 \bigcirc

| SL Category | Water | Wastewater | Benchmarking |
|---------------------|--|--|---|
| Reliability | water main breaks unaccounted for water worst served customers | sewer blockages / collapses SSOs / CSOs spills / backups | Performance lobicators for Water and Kastessater Walifies: 2006 Annual Sorrey Bata and Assiysis Report |
| Quality | customer complaints (pressure, taste/odor, color) | odor complaints from pump stations and WWTPs | Water Distribution Breaks and Leaks Per 100 Miles Per Year |
| Customer Service | outage response call center performance | event response call center performance | 15 10 5 0 2003 2014 2005 2005 2006 2007 |
| Regulatory | water quality compliance | discharge permit compliance | Current Performance Trends and Issues Stable performance driven by rehabilitation and renewal program of 100 miles per year. Continued focus on oldest cast iron pipe and worst served areas. |

• 2007 performance impacted by spike of 75 third party damage incidents during downtown light rail construction .

What is an asset?

The following three questions can be used as a guide in defining assets:

- 1. Will a work order be written to this specific item?
- 2. Will a separate condition assessment need to be performed on this item?
- 3. Will depreciation or costs need to be tracked separately on this item?







Leading Practice Asset Management Should Be Risk-Based



Risk Calculation / Definition

EPA 10 Step RBAM

J100 VA

$R = Probability * Consequence \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{T}$



Where:

C = Consequences

V = Vulnerability

T = Threat likelihood

What is the AWWA J100 Standard?



Methodology to quantify risk (\$) Down to the facility or asset level Analyzes a broad range of threat types

A way to compare apples to oranges

J100 VA OR EPA 10 Step RBAM?



Risk Mitigation Measures (RMMs)



- Can a single project benefit multiple assets?
- Continuous process
- Emergency Preparedness / Contingency Planning

Emergency Preparedness Program?





- **P**lan: All-Hazards EOP & COG
- **O**rganize/**E**quip: Budget, Grants
- **T**rain: ICS & EOC
- **E**xercise: City, County, Regional, State
- **E**valuate: After-Action Reports



Contingency Plan Requirements

- OAC 3745-85-01
- Public Drinking Water Systems
- Identify response actions to:
 - Protect people
 - Preserve property
 - Protect the environment
 - Maintain operations & minimize disruption to the public



Steps to Bundle, Validate and Prioritize CIP

| | Assess and Analyze Asset Data and Establish Policies and Procedures | Conduct Risk Assessment | C | Develop 5/ Capital Inv Plan (C | es | stment | | | Review and | yze ar v Finar d Rate icatior | ∩C è | ial |
|--|---|--|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--|------------|----------------------|
| icts) | Project Priority | rview By Project Type 2007-2013 (Non-Escalated Costs) | | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| nent Projects) / Growth Projec | 5 diutyd tor corport dy okist i'r cogorerwys ddaas High Probability High Probability Consequence or | Project Name | Priority | Project Type | | 2007 | Estimate 2008 | d Annual I | Expenditure 2009 | 2010 | | Total |
| cemer | 4 displayed. Your computer may not her enough memory to upon impact / Alignment | wns Wet Well and ORF Improvements | High | Growth / Augmentation | \$ | - \$ | 1000 | 000 \$ | 4,600,000 \$ | 1,300,000 | \$ | 7,900,000 |
| / Repla | displayed. Your computer may not have enough me | may to perform a vice when and or a migrovements | Med High | Growth / Renewal | \$ | - \$ | 1,000 | - \$ | - \$ | - | \$ | 4,000,000 |
| Renewal, Ient (Enh | displayed. Your compute | myret ly PS Replacement | Low | Growth | \$ | - \$ | 200 | 000 \$ | 300,000 \$ | - | \$ | 500,000 |
| | High Priority | r day layar | | | \$ | - \$ | 1,700. | 000 \$ | 5,300,000 \$ | 1,300,000 | \$ | 13,300,000 |
| P robability of Failure (Rehab/ Financial and Strategic Alignn | 1 Low Probability/ Consequence or Impact / Alignment | adepayed ations | High | Augmentation | \$ | 1,000,000 \$ | 4,000 | 000 \$ | - \$ | | \$ | 5,000,000 |
| Failur Strate | Low Priority Med Priority | and PS Improvements | High | Augmentation | \$ | 100,000 \$ | | - \$ | - \$ | | \$ | 1,900,000 |
| lity of | 0 1 2 3 4 5 | estern PS Elimination | Med High | Augmentation | \$ | - \$ | | - \$ | - \$ | | \$ | 700,000 |
| robabi | Consequence of Failure (Rehab / Renewal / Replacement Projects) Project Impact (Enhancement / Growth Projects) | owns Solids Handling | Med | Augmentation | \$ | - \$ | 2,000 | 000 \$ | 4,000,000 \$ | 880,000 | \$ | 6,880,000 |
| <u>د ت</u> | | tation Elimination Evaluation (Greenmeadow) | Low | Augmentation | \$ | - \$ | 580 | 000 \$ | - \$ | - | \$ | 580,000 |
| | Augmentation - Collection 34 3 Ru | System Ish Creek Interceptor | Med High | Augmentation | \$ | - \$ | | - \$ | 4,000,000 \$ | 2,800,000 | \$ | 10,300,000 |
| | Augmentation - Other 61 All CM | IMS Implementation | Med | Augmentation | \$ | 290,000 \$ | 1,145 | 000 \$ | 3,145,000 \$ | | \$ | 4,580,000 |
| | TOTAL - AUGMENTATION Renewal / Rehabilitation / Replacement - Plant and Lift Stations | | | \$ | 1,630,000 \$ | 9,295, | 000 \$ | 13,145,000 \$ | 6,580,000 | \$ | 36,650,000 | |
| | 3 1 Ca | yuga & Industrial Parkway HVAC | High | Renewal | \$ | - \$ | 350 | 000 \$ | - \$ | - | \$ | 350,000 |
| | | ckawanna STP Chlorine Building and Primary Tank Repairs | Med High | Renewal | \$ | - \$ | | 000 \$ | - \$ | | \$ | 170,000 |
| | | | | Renewal | \$ | - \$ | | - \$ | - \$ | 1,400,000 | \$ | 1,400,000 |
| Renewal / Rehabilitation / Replacement - Collection System 32 3 Village of Hamburg Collection System | | | High | Renewal | \$ | 592,000 \$ | 1,000 | 000 \$ | - \$ | | \$ | 1,592,000 |
| | | placement of ACP along Transit Road* thlehem Park PS and Collection System Improvements | High High | Renewal Renewal | \$ \$ | 500,000 \$ 250,000 \$ | | 000 \$ 000 \$ | - \$ - \$ | | \$ \$ | 1,800,000 750,000 |
| | 64 6 Ho | Iland Avenue Sewer Replacement* st Aurora Collection System Replacement NYS DOT | High Low | Renewal Renewal | \$\$ | 600,000 \$ 2,000,000 \$ | 200 | | - \$ | | \$ \$ | 800,000 2,000,000 |
| TOTAL - RENEWAL / REHABILITATION / REPLACEMENT | | | \$ | 6,082,000 \$ | 6,620, | 000 \$ | 1,200,000 \$ | 1,400,000 | \$ | 15,302,000 | | |
| Total - All Projects | | | \$ | 7,712,000 \$ | 17,615, | 000 \$ | 19,645,000 \$ | 9,280,000 | \$ | 65,252,000 | | |

Projects Can Be Validated and Prioritized Through Defined Criteria

- Physical Condition
- Performance Condition
- Strategic Plan Alignment
- Regulatory/ Environmental
- Service Level/Reliability
- O&M and Safety
- Public Benefit
- Financial
- Efficiency/Energy
- Community/Growth



Sustainable Financial Projections

- Capital Prioritization
- Affordability Analysis
- Funding Options



Helps Balance Capital Funding and Rate Impacts



 \bigcirc

Projects vs. Integrated Program

| Vulnerability Assessment | Asset Management Program | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Security Projects | Maintenance Program | | | | | |
| Emergency Response Plans | Accelerated Capital Investment | | | | | |
| Asset Hardening | Integrated with Operations | | | | | |
| Capital Funding | Capital and Operations Funding | | | | | |

Risk Mitigation Contingency Plan





KEVIN SLAVEN, CRL

Arcadis, kevin.slaven@arcadis.com



SARAH MOORE, CEM

City of Columbus, Department of Public Utilities, slimoore@Columbus.gov