While We Are At, Nutrients Too, in Clark County

Bill Meinert, PE, Vice President - O'Brien & Gere Bill.Meinert@obg.com







Agenda

Clark County, OH, Southwest Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant

Design Development

- Requirement Expansion, Upgrade
- Goal Nutrient Removal
- Retrofit Details
 - Nutrient Removal
- Initial Performance
- Questions





Project Basis / Overview – Regulatory Drivers

Regulatory Activity

Mad River TMDL



- OEPA's Nutrient Reduction Strategy (Pending)
- Gulf of Mexico Hypoxia Program (Pending?)

New NPDES Permit (March 2015)

- Capacity Expansion: 2.0 MGD \rightarrow 4.0 MGD Rating (Future Need)
- Monthly NH₃-N Limit Decrease: 2.5 mg/L \rightarrow 1.7 mg/L
 - > Additional Future Limits ?
 - Assess Nutrient Removal Options



NPDES Permit Requirements – 4.0 MGD

Parameter	Requirement / LimitReport (MGD)Minimum 5.7 mg/L		Frequency
Flow	Report (MGD)		Daily
Dissolved Oxygen	Minimum	5.7 mg/L	Daily
	mg/L	lbs/day	
BOD ₅	12	401	Weekly
	8.0	267	Monthly
	18	602	Weekly
155	12	401	Monthly
Ammonia N (NUL N)	3.2	107	Weekly
Ammonia-IN (INH ₃ -IN)	1.7	57	Monthly
E Coli	284 #/100 mL		Summer Weekly
E.COII	126 #/2	100mL	Summer Monthly
Phosphorus (as P)	Report Mon		Monthly
TKN (as N)	Rep	ort	Quarterly
Nitrite Plus Nitrate (NO _x -N)	Rep	ort	Monthly



Influent, Effluent Parameters – Design v. Actual (Startup)

			New Data	Old Data for Comparison						
Stream	Parameter	Unit	July 2014- Sept 2014*	a Old Da March 2013- May 2014 May 2014 7.90 3 315 1 162 1 1.5 1 14.3 9 9.1 8 7.99 3 0.26 2 2.1 15.4 15.4 2 1.2 0	Jan 2010- April 2012**	Peaking Factor Analysis				
	рН	SU		7.90	7.95					
Influent	TSS	mg/L	391	315	280	298				
	CBOD ₅	mg/L	234	162	133	128				
	Flow	MGD	1.3	1.5	1.6	4.0				
	Water Temp DO	°C	20.3	14.3	15.2					
DO Max pH Min pH Effluent TSS NH ₃ CBOD ₅	mg/L	8.5	9.1	9.0						
	SU	8.2	8.0	8.0						
	Min pH	SU	7.5	7.9	7.9					
	TSS	mg/L	4.0	3.0	1.8					
	NH ₃	mg/L	0.57	0.26	0.22					
	CBOD ₅	mg/L	2.9	2.1	3.0					
	NOx	mg/L	12.9	15.4	10.9					
	ТР	mg/L	3.3	2.6	2.7					
ТК	ΤΚΝ	mg/L		1.2	1.3					
Overflow Occurrence No.		0	0	0						
*Review of monthly averages only										
**These influent values were used in the Basis of Design Mass Balance and Modeling Evaluations										



Phosphorus Removal by Biological Means

Fundamentals of Bio-P:

- Requires Anaerobic-Aerobic sequencing
- **DO & NOx must be used up for Anaerobic conditions**

» NOx in RAS/ Denitrified in Pre-Anoxic Zone

- Need Readily biodegradable COD and VFAs during Anaerobic
- Need Sufficient Aeration during Aerobic
- Poly-phosphate released in Anaerobic, stored during Aerobic
 - Stored Phosphorus is removed with the WAS





ORTHO

ORG - F

VFA

FERMEN

BOD

- BOD/N
 - >4.0 generally suggests sufficient BOD for effluent TN < 10 mg/L
- TKN/NH₃-N
 - Greater values indicate higher organic content
 - Potential for higher effluent dissolved organic nitrogen (EDON)
- BOD/P
 - > 30 indicates potential for effective Bio-P
 - < 20 indicates minimal removal with Bio-P</p>
- rbCOD/P
 - Most rbCOD gets converted to acetate for EBPR
 - ▶ 1 mg P removal for 6–10 mg/L rbCOD



Process Modeling

- Calibrated existing plant for existing limits
- Modeling to evaluate conditions for Bio-P, BNR

<1 mg/L TP, 10 mg/L TN</p>





Process Modeling – Oxidation Ditch Mode





Process Modeling – Oxidation Ditch Mode





Process Modeling – Plug Flow Mode





Oxidation Ditch vs. Plug Flow Operation

- Aerobic suspended growth process
 - Oxidation ditch (to 3.2 MGD?) vs.
 - Plug-flow configuration (to 4 MGD, BNR)



Effect of design factor on steady state effluent ammonia k vels in complete mix and p lug fb w su spended growth reactors.



Oxidation Ditch vs. Plug Flow Operation

- Other Benefits
 - Improved Process Control
 - > Dedicated Zones
 - > Independent Mixing & Aeration
 - **BNR**
 - > > Nitrification, TN & Bio-P
 - Lower Capital Cost
 - Lower O&M Cost
 - ▶ Floodplain





Other Benefits of Biological Nutrient Removal

- Anoxic Treatment Reduces BOD w/o Oxygen
 - Reduce Overall Required Oxygen
- Anoxic/Anaerobic Conditions can enhance settleability
 - Select organisms, control filaments
- Bio-P can enhance alpha factor (oxygen uptake)
- Chem-P can increase inactive biomass





Oxidation Ditch BNR Conversion

Converting oxidation ditches to plug flow reactors





Overview – Process Flow Diagram





Project Basis / Overview – 4.0 Expansion Site Plan





Headworks – Expansion Design

Capacity Expansion from 2 to 4 MGD (10 MGD Peak)

Influent Screw Pumps (Ex. To Remain)

- Existing Screw Pumps to Remain (7.3 MGD Capacity)
 - Update when Peak Flow reaches 80% of firm pumping capacity

Influent Screening (Refurbish/New)

- Existing Mechanical Screen (5 MGD) to Remain
 - Add Second Mechanical Screen (5 MGD)
 - Replace Bar Rack (New 10 MGD, for Redundancy)
- New Shaftless Screw Screenings Conveyor

Grit Removal (New)

• New Vortex Grit Concentrators (With Stacked Tray Design)



Retrofitted Headworks Layout – Plan





Biological Treatment Zone Volumes

Zone	Train 1 Vol (MG)	Train 2 Vol (MG)	Total Vol (MG)	Primary Process Purpose
Pre- Anoxic /Anaerobic	0.11	0.11	0.22	RAS Denitrification/ Phosphorus Release
Swing	0.13	0.15	0.28	(Depends on Operation)
Aerobic	0.26	0.31	0.57	Nitrification/ P Uptake
Swing	0.15	0.18	0.33	(Depends on Operation)
Aerobic	0.09	0.10	0.19	Nitrification/ P Uptake
Total	0.74	0.85	1.59	BOD/Nutrient Removal



Ortho-Phosphorus Profile – Aerobic Swing Zones – 1.57 MGD



Ortho-Phosphorus Profile – Anaerobic Swing 1 – 3 MGD



Ortho-Phosphorus Profile – Secondary Release

Converted-PC Equalization to Pre-Anoxic/Anaerobic Reactors

Converted Bioreactors (Upper Level)

Converted Bioreactors (Lower Level)

Biological – Equipment Installation Photos

△ SAMPLING POINT

DO METER

SWING 1	AERATION 1	SWING 2	AERATION 2			
		Δ				
		-				

Bioreactor Process Flow & Control

Effluent Treatment – Expansion Design

Capacity Expansion from 2 to 4 MGD (10 MGD Peak)

Coagulant Storage and Feed

• Provisional, for intermittent use to ensure permit compliance

UV Disinfection

- Replacing Chlorine System, Increase Capacity to 10 MGD
 - Safety Concerns (Chlorine Storage)

Post – Aeration

- Convert old Chlorine Contact Tank to New Post- Air Tank
 - New Blowers, increase rating to 10 MGD

Tertiary Filters – Decommissioned in Place

Coagulant Feed – Improves / Backs Up P Removal

- Upgraded to Biological Phosphorus Removal
 - Low temperatures or inadequate influent ratios may inhibit BioP
 - Swing Zones in aeration mode may inhibit BioP
- Coagulant added to enhance/back up Bio-P
- Can also enhance settling, reduce effluent TSS
- Alternatives: Alum, Ferric Chloride, PACl, Sodium Aluminate, etc.
 - Installed: 35% Ferric Solution

Control:

- PCS automatically starts pumps when a pre-set effluent TSS is measured
 - > By TSS Effluent Monitor
- Feed rate is manually adjusted

Coagulant Addition – Equipment Installation Photo

UV Disinfection – Equipment Installation Photo

Liquid Treatment - Average Flows (1.5 MGD)

Average Flows: 1.5 MGD

Liquid Treatment – Sustained Storm (4 MGD, 3-5 Days)

Average Flows: 1.5 MGD

Sustained Storm: 4 MGD

Liquid Treatment – Sustained Storm, Maintain Treatment

Future Treatment? – Growth to Capacity, Or Lower Limits

Training Sessions and Tools (Example - RAS/WAS Calculator)

- Enter Info Into Beige Cells
- Suggests WAS flows to achieve desired MLSS
- RAS rate to maintain

RAS rate	
Clarifier Diameter, ft	60.00
No. of SCs in use	3.00
Total Clarifier Influent, MGD	2.18
Tot. SC area in use, ft^2	8,482.30
Surface loading rate @ desired MLSS, (lb/day)/SF	7.10
Surface loading rate @ actual MLSS, (Ib/day)/SF	6.51
SVI, mL/g	100.00
RAS rate, based on SVI, % of inf	50.35
RAS rate, based on SVI, MGD	0.73
SC Influent Solids, Ibs/day	40,479.91
RAS solids, lbs/day	37,200.74
RAS, MGD	0.38
BR influent TSS, mg/L	280.00
Desired BR MLSS, mg/L	4,050.40
Underflow SS, mg/L	11,779.86
Effluent TSS, mg/L	8.71
BR influent flow, MGD	1.45
SC Effluent Flow	1.42
WAS flow, MGD	0.03
Minimum RAS Flow at Target MLSS, MGD*	0.70700
Minimum recommended RAS Flow, calculated based on	
current MLSS*	0.53

e highlig	ΗТ	ED								
0.11	eac	h								
0.63	eac	h								
0.74	eac	h								
1.00	of 2	total								
1.00	1 fo	r yes,	0 for no							
0.00	1 fo	r yes,	0 for no							
0.11										
0.63										
0.74										
1.45	Ente	er cur	rent average	flov	v in M	GD, 4 M	/IGD	is basis	of desi	gn flow
3,349.00	Ente	er val	ue based on r	mos	t rece	nt lab o	or on	line ins	trumer	nt analysi
4,050.40	Des	ign m	odeling avera	age	was 4	,050.4	mg/L			
-4,328.76	Neg	gative	indicates tar	get	MLSS	is high	er th	an curr	ent MLS	is
-0.04										
11,779.86	Ente	er val	ue based on r	mos	t rece	nt lab o	or on	line ins	trumer	nt analysi
20,668.69										
24,997.45										
3,279.17	Base	ed on	removing Inf	flue	nt TSS	conc.	to ef	fluent t	arget o	onc.
6.30	Ave	rage i	in design mod	deli	ng wa	s 5.93 d	lays			
7.62	Ave	rage i	in design moo	deli	ng wa	s 5.93 d	lays			
0.03	Base	ed on	maintaining	soli	ds inv	entory				
23.18	Base	ed on	maintaining	soli	ds inv	entory				
8.71	Des	ign m	odeling avera	age	was 8	.7				
16.00										
34.77	Flov	<i>w</i> nee	ded just to m	naint	tain s	olids in	vent	ory at c	urrent	level
9.00										
29.67	for	16.0	hours a day	for	9.0	days				
then	retu	rn to	34.77	GP	M fo	r				
		16.0	hours a day							
	E HIGHLIG 0.11 0.63 0.74 1.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.63 0.74 1.45 3,349.00 4,050.40 -4,328.76 -0.04 11,779.86 20,668.69 24,997.45 3,279.17 6.30 7.62 0.03 23.18 8.71 16.00 34.77 9.00 29.67 then	HIGHLIGHT 0.11 0.63 0.74 0.74 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.11 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.04 0.05 1.00 0.11 0.63 0.74 1.00 0.11 0.63 0.74 1.45 Entra 3.349.00 Entra 3.349.00 Entra 3.349.00 Entra 2.0,668.69 2.4,997.45 3.279.17 Basi 3.279.17 Basi 2.3.18 Basi 2.3.18 Basi 3.4.77 Flow 9.00 2.9.67 for then retu	HIGHLIGHTED 0.11 0.01 0.03 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.75 0.76 0.77 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.10 1.11 <td>HIGHLIGHTED1110.11$a > b$10.63$a > b$10.74$a > b$11.00$o \neq 2 total$11.00$1 = y = y, 0$ for no00.01$1 = y = y, 0$ for no10.63$a > b$10.63$a > b$10.63$a > b$10.63$a > b$10.74$a > b$10.63$a > b > b$$a > b > b0.74a > b > b$$a > b > b > b1.45B > b >$</td> <td>HIGHLIGHTED00000.01each00.03each00.74each01.00of 2 total01.001 for yes, 0 for no00.011 or yes, 0 for no00.03000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74001.75Negative indicates target low0.040011,779.860024,997.45003,279.17Based on removing Influe0.03Based on maintaining soli0.180011,779.860012,997.450013,279.17Based on maintaining soli14,0000015,0010016,00116,0016,001<!--</td--><td>HIGHLIGHTED0.11$a < b <$</td><td>HIGHLIGHTED Image: Sector of the sector</td><td>HIGHLIGHTED I <td< td=""><td>HIGHLIGHTED 0.11 each 0.63 each 0.74 each 1.00 of 2 total 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.10 1.10 1.11 0.63 1.12 1.13 1.145 Enter current average flow in MGD, 4 MGD is basis 3,349.00 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 4,050.40 Design modeling average was 4,050.4 mg/L -4,328.76 Negative indicates target MLSS is higher than curre -0.04 11,779.86 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 20,668.69 24,997.45 3,279.17 Based on removing Influent TSS conc. to effluent the 6.30 Average in design modeling was 5.93 days 0.03</td><td>HIGHLIGHTED Image: Section of the s</td></td<></td></td>	HIGHLIGHTED1110.11 $a > b$ 10.63 $a > b$ 10.74 $a > b$ 11.00 $o \neq 2 total$ 11.00 $1 = y = y, 0$ for no00.01 $1 = y = y, 0$ for no10.63 $a > b$ 10.63 $a > b$ 10.63 $a > b$ 10.63 $a > b$ 10.74 $a > b$ 10.63 $a > b > b$ $a > b > b$ 0.74 $a > b > b$ $a > b > b > b$ 1.45 $B > b > b > b > b > b > b > b > b > b > $	HIGHLIGHTED00000.01each00.03each00.74each01.00of 2 total01.001 for yes, 0 for no00.011 or yes, 0 for no00.03000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74000.74001.75Negative indicates target low0.040011,779.860024,997.45003,279.17Based on removing Influe0.03Based on maintaining soli0.180011,779.860012,997.450013,279.17Based on maintaining soli14,0000015,0010016,00116,0016,001 </td <td>HIGHLIGHTED0.11$a < b <$</td> <td>HIGHLIGHTED Image: Sector of the sector</td> <td>HIGHLIGHTED I <td< td=""><td>HIGHLIGHTED 0.11 each 0.63 each 0.74 each 1.00 of 2 total 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.10 1.10 1.11 0.63 1.12 1.13 1.145 Enter current average flow in MGD, 4 MGD is basis 3,349.00 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 4,050.40 Design modeling average was 4,050.4 mg/L -4,328.76 Negative indicates target MLSS is higher than curre -0.04 11,779.86 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 20,668.69 24,997.45 3,279.17 Based on removing Influent TSS conc. to effluent the 6.30 Average in design modeling was 5.93 days 0.03</td><td>HIGHLIGHTED Image: Section of the s</td></td<></td>	HIGHLIGHTED0.11 $a < b < b < b < b < b < b < b < b < b < $	HIGHLIGHTED Image: Sector of the sector	HIGHLIGHTED I <td< td=""><td>HIGHLIGHTED 0.11 each 0.63 each 0.74 each 1.00 of 2 total 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.10 1.10 1.11 0.63 1.12 1.13 1.145 Enter current average flow in MGD, 4 MGD is basis 3,349.00 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 4,050.40 Design modeling average was 4,050.4 mg/L -4,328.76 Negative indicates target MLSS is higher than curre -0.04 11,779.86 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 20,668.69 24,997.45 3,279.17 Based on removing Influent TSS conc. to effluent the 6.30 Average in design modeling was 5.93 days 0.03</td><td>HIGHLIGHTED Image: Section of the s</td></td<>	HIGHLIGHTED 0.11 each 0.63 each 0.74 each 1.00 of 2 total 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.00 1 for yes, 0 for no 0.11 0.63 1.10 1.10 1.11 0.63 1.12 1.13 1.145 Enter current average flow in MGD, 4 MGD is basis 3,349.00 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 4,050.40 Design modeling average was 4,050.4 mg/L -4,328.76 Negative indicates target MLSS is higher than curre -0.04 11,779.86 Enter value based on most recent lab or online ins 20,668.69 24,997.45 3,279.17 Based on removing Influent TSS conc. to effluent the 6.30 Average in design modeling was 5.93 days 0.03	HIGHLIGHTED Image: Section of the s

🔲 O'BRIEN & GERE

Expansion, Upgrade, and ready for Nutrients

- Nutrient Removal is more cost effective when influent BOD is managed
 - Deliver the sBOD to where it is best utilized
- DO control easier and better in Plug Flow mode
- When matched in proper ratios to N and P, BNR is very effective
- BOD is "Free Carbon", little or no aeration needed with Nutrient Removal
- Recycle loads from solids treatment can influence performance
- Cost-Effective Expansion & Upgrade
 - \$7.1M Project Cost
 - Optimize existing infrastructure, \$2-3/GPD capital cost
 - > BNR (TN 10, TN 1) (\$100-500k of \$7.1M?)

QUESTIONS?

Bill Meinert, O'Brien & Gere (443) 474-7332, Bill.Meinert@obg.com

Environment

12 ater

O'BRIEN & GERE

Enersy